UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,756	06/21/2006	Susan L. Giles	299.59	7141
Todd A Noah	7590 03/05/200	EXAMINER		
Dergosits & No	oah	CULLER, JILL E		
Suite 1450 Four Embarcad	ero Center	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Francisco,	CA 94111	2854		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Α	pplication No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			0/583,756		GILES, SUSAN L.				
			xaminer		Art Unit				
		JI	LL E. CULLER		2854				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication appear	s on the cover she	eet with the co	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN THE INSIDE OF	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. tatutory period will all y will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMM ). In no event, however, r pply and will expire SIX (6 se the application to become	IUNICATION may a reply be time b) MONTHS from to me ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this o ) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>21 June</i>	2006						
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		tion is non-final.						
<b>—</b>		<i>′</i> —		matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
٠,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the	application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>——</u> is/are rejected.								
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or el	ection requiremen	ıt.					
	on Papers								
	•								
-	The specification is objected to by the								
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 June 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any object			-					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some coll None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Pape 5) Notice	view Summary ( er No(s)/Mail Da be of Informal Pa r:					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claims 7, 8, 13, 14 and 20 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claims 7 and 13, there is no antecedent basis for the recitation of "the consonant keys" as these claims depend from the independent claim. It appears that these claims may have instead been intended to depend from the previous claims as the recitation of a "third color" is also inconsistent with the claim as it is now written with no antecedent claim of other colors.

Similarly, in claims 8 and 14, the recitation of a "fourth color" is inconsistent with the claims as they each depend from an independent claim with not antecedent claim of other colors. This is the same for claim 20 and its recitation of a "third color".

Also, in claims 8 and 14, on line 4, it appears that the word "forth" should be "fourth" instead.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,452,960 to Kuhlenschmidt.

With respect to claim 1, Kuhlenschmidt teaches a computer keyboard comprising: a frame; a set of letter keys arranged in a QWERTY layout and mounted to an upper surface of the frame; a set of number keys arranged in a sequential and linear configuration parallel to the top row of the QWERTY layout and mounted to the upper surface of the frame; and a gap that separates the top row of QWERTY layout from the number keys. See Fig. 1.

With respect to claim 7, Kuhlenschmidt teaches that the number keys are a color that is distinct from the color of the consonant keys. See column 2, lines 41-46.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4, 9-11, 13 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuhlenschmidt in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,919,879 to Griffin et al.

With respect to claim 2, Kuhlenschmidt teaches all that is claimed, as in the above rejection of claims 1 and 7, except for a mechanism for disabling a key repeat function for all letters and numbers.

Griffin et al. teaches a keyboard having a mechanism for disabling a key repeat function for all letters and numbers. See column 5, lines 45-65.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the apparatus of Kuhlenschmidt to have the disabling mechanism, as taught by Griffin et al., in order to adapt the response of the keyboard to the needs of the user.

With respect to claim 9, Kuhlenscmidt teaches a computer keyboard comprising: a frame; a set of letter keys arranged in a QWERTY layout and mounted to an upper surface of the frame; and a set of number keys arranged in a sequential and linear configuration parallel to the top row of the QWERTY layout and mounted to the upper surface of the frame. See Fig. 1.

Kuhlenschmidt does not teach a mechanism for disabling a key repeat function for all letters and numbers.

Griffin et al. teaches a keyboard having a mechanism for disabling a key repeat function for all letters and numbers. See column 5, lines 45-65.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the apparatus of Kuhlenschmidt to have the disabling mechanism, as taught by Griffin et al., in order to adapt the response of the keyboard to the needs of the user.

With respect to claim 13, Kuhlenschmidt teaches that the number keys are a color that is distinct from the color of the consonant keys. See column 2, lines 41-46

With respect to claim 15, Kuhlenschmidt teaches a computer keyboard comprising: a frame and a set of letter keys arranged in a QWERTY layout and mounted to an upper surface of the frame. See Fig. 1.

Kuhlenschmidt does not teach a mechanism for disabling a key repeat function for all letters and numbers.

Griffin et al. teaches a keyboard having a mechanism for disabling a key repeat function for all letters and numbers. See column 5, lines 45-65.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the apparatus of Kuhlenschmidt to have the disabling mechanism, as taught by Griffin et al., in order to adapt the response of the keyboard to the needs of the user.

With respect to claims 3-4, 10-11 and 16-17, Griffin et al. teaches a mechanism for disabling the key repeat function which could be considered both a firmware program stored in a first electronic memory in the frame of the computer keyboard and a software program stored in a second electronic memory in a computer associated with the computer keyboard and therefore it is considered to meet the requirements of all the claims.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuhlenschmidt in view of U.S. PGPUB 2003/0222800 to Uke

With respect to claim 5, Kuhlenschmidt teaches all that is claimed, as in the above rejection of claims 1 and 7, except for a first recess in which at least some of the set of letter keys are positioned; a second recess in which at least some of the set of number keys are positioned; and a drain that provides a path for liquids to flow from the recess out of the keyboard.

Uke teaches a keyboard having a first recess in which letter keys are positioned; a second recess in which at number keys are positioned; and a drain that provides a path for liquids to flow from the recess out of the keyboard. See paragraphs 74-77 and Fig. 25 in particular.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the apparatus of Kuhlenschmidt to have recesses and a drain, as taught by Uke, in order to protect the keyboard from spilled liquids.

Claims 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuhlenschmidt in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,084,576 to Leu et al.

With respect to claim 6, Kuhlenschmidt teaches all that is claimed, as in the above rejection of claims 1 and 7, except that the set of letter keys have vowel keys that are a first color and consonant keys which are a second color.

Leu et al. teaches a keyboard wherein the vowel keys are a first color and the consonant keys are a second color. See column 29, line 58 - column 30, line 28.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the keyboard of Kuhlenschmidt to have colored keys, as taught by Leu et al. in order to help the user in distinguishing between the types of keys.

With respect to claim 8, Kuhlenschmidt teaches all that is claimed, as in the above rejection of claims 1 and 7, except for a shift key having text in a fourth color printed on a surface of the shift key; wherein the number keys each have a symbol in the fourth color printed on a surface of the number keys.

Leu et al. teaches keys having text printed in color on the surface of the keys. See column 29, line 58 - column 30, line 28.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the keyboard of Kuhlenschmidt to have colored key text, as taught by Leu et al. in order to help the user in distinguishing between the types of keys.

Claims 12, 14 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuhlenschmidt in view of Griffin et al. as applied to claims 2-4, 9-11, 13 and 15-17 above, and further in view of Leu et al.

With respect to claim 12, Kuhlenschmidt and Griffin et al. teach all that is claimed, as in the above rejection of claims 1 and 7, except that the set of letter keys have vowel keys that are a first color and consonant keys which are a second color.

Leu et al. teaches a keyboard wherein the vowel keys are a first color and the consonant keys are a second color. See column 29, line 58 - column 30, line 28.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the keyboard of Kuhlenschmidt to have colored keys, as taught by Leu et al. in order to help the user in distinguishing between the types of keys.

With respect to claim 14, Kuhlenschmidt and Griffin et al. teach all that is claimed, as in the above rejection of claims 1 and 7, except for a shift key having text in a fourth color printed on a surface of the shift key; wherein the number keys each have a symbol in the fourth color printed on a surface of the number keys.

Leu et al. teaches keys having text printed in color on the surface of the keys.

See column 29, line 58 - column 30, line 28.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the keyboard of Kuhlenschmidt to have colored key text, as taught by Leu et al. in order to help the user in distinguishing between the types of keys.

With respect to claim 20, Kuhlenschmidt and Griffin et al. teach all that is claimed, as in the above rejection of claims 1 and 7, except for a shift key having text in a fourth color printed on a surface of the shift key; wherein the number keys each have a symbol in the fourth color printed on a surface of the number keys.

Leu et al. teaches keys having text printed in color on the surface of the keys.

See column 29, line 58 - column 30, line 28.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the keyboard of Kuhlenschmidt to have colored key text, as taught by Leu et al. in order to help the user in distinguishing between the types of keys.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuhlenschmidt in view of Griffin et al. as applied to claims 2-4, 9-11, 13 and 15-17 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,936,554 to Stanek

With respect to claim 18, Kuhlenschmidt and Griffin et al. teach all that is claimed, as in the above rejection of claims 2-4, 9-11, 13 and 15-17, except for a USB

Application/Control Number: 10/583,756

Art Unit: 2854

type connector which allows the computer keyboard to be used as a secondary keyboard for a computer.

Stanek teaches a keyboard having a USB type connector. See column 4, line 62 - column 5, line 4.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the keyboard of Kuhlenschmidt to have a USB connector, as taught by Stanke, in order to allow bidirectional communication between the keyboard and a computer.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuhlenschmidt in view of Griffin et al. as applied to claims 2-4, 9-11, 13 and 15-17 above, and further in view of Uke.

With respect to claim 19, Kuhlenschmidt teaches all that is claimed, as in the above rejection of claims 2-4, 9-11, 13 and 15-17, except for a first recess in which at least some of the set of letter keys are positioned; a second recess in which at least some of the set of number keys are positioned; and a drain that provides a path for liquids to flow from the recess out of the keyboard.

Uke teaches a keyboard having a first recess in which letter keys are positioned; a second recess in which at number keys are positioned; and a drain that provides a path for liquids to flow from the recess out of the keyboard. See paragraphs 74-77 and Fig. 25 in particular.

Art Unit: 2854

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the apparatus of Kuhlenschmidt to have recesses and a drain, as taught by Uke, in order to protect the keyboard from spilled liquids.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JILL E. CULLER whose telephone number is (571)272-2159. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,756 Page 11

Art Unit: 2854

jec

/Jill E. Culler/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2854